



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/077,257	02/14/2002	Douglas M. Crockett	020196	3308
23696	7590 07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
Qualcomm In	ncorporated		TRINH,	TANH
Patents Depart		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2684	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/077,257	CROCKETT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TAN TRINH	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	arch 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-11,14-21,24-31 and 34-40 is/are part 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-11,14-21,24-31 and 34-40 is/are part 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Add and the second of the seco					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	eate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/077,257

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 6-7, 11, 16-17, 21, 26-27, 31 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aravamudan (U.S. Pub. No. 20030148779.

Regarding claims 1, 11, 21 and 31, Aravamudan teaches in a communication device (see fig. 1), a method for initiating a group call in a group communication network (see fig. 2) comprising: receiving a member list from a user (see page 7, section [0085]), sending a request to a server to initiate the group call base on the received member list (see page 7, section [0085], and figs. 2, 6 and fig. 20, page 8, sections [0093-0096 and 0099-0100]); receiving a response from the server indicating that the initiating the group call is in progress (see fig. 12, and page 8, section [0092-0094]), and alerting the user to provide media and buffering the media for transmission after a traffic channel is re-established (see page 3, section [0024] and page 2, section [0019] and page 8, section [0095]).

Regarding claims 6, 16, 26 and 36, Aravamudan teaches including re-establishing traffic channel for the communication device (see page 1, section [0008]).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 7, 17, 27 and 37, Aravamudan teaches including re-establishing traffic channel for the communication device simultaneously with the sending the request (see page 4, session [0053]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5, 14-15, 24-25 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aravamudan (U.S. Pub. No. 20030148779) in view of Diep (U.S. Pub No. 20030048764).

Regarding claims 4, 14, 24 and 34, Aravamudan fails to teach the transmitting the request on a reverse access channel (R-ACH) of a wireless network.

However, Diep teaches the transmitting the request on a reverse access channel (R-ACH) of a wireless network (see page 5, table 8 and session [0047]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Aravamudan system and by providing of the teaching of Diep on the reverse link access channel, thereto in order to provide user wants to become a talker may request the reverse traffic channel by sending this message to the base station.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 5, 15, 25 and 35, Aravamudan fails to teach the transmitting the request on a reverse enhanced access channel (R-EACH) of a wireless network.

However, Diep teaches the transmitting the request on a reverse enhanced access channel (R-EACH) of a wireless network (see fig. 4, page 4, table 7 and session [0044]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Aravamudan system and by providing of the teaching of Diep on the reverse enhanced access channel, thereto in order to provide user to share signaling channel for forward link.

5. Claims 8-10, 18-20, 28-30 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aravamudan (U.S. Pub. No. 20030148779) in view of Wang (U.S. Pub No. 20020055364).

Regarding claims 8, 18, 28 and 38, Aravamudan fails to teach the renegotiating a radio link protocol (RLP) for the communication device.

However, Wang teaches the renegotiating a radio link protocol (RLP) for the 'communication device (see page 3, session [0034]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Aravamudan system and by providing of the teaching of Wang on the radio link protocol (RLP) initialization process, thereto in order to provide user with packet data service call control function enters the connection state easier.

Regarding claims 9, 19, 29 and 39, Aravamudan fails to teach the renegotiating a radio link protocol (RLP) for the communication device simultaneously with the sending the request.

However, Wang teaches the renegotiating a radio link protocol (RLP) for the communication device simultaneously with the sending the request (see page 3, session [0034-0035]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Aravamudan system and by providing of the teaching of Wang on the radio link protocol (RLP) initialization process, thereto in order to provide user with packet data service call control function and request reverse high speed operation easier.

Regarding claims 10, 20, 30 and 40, Aravamudan fails to teach the transmitting the request in short data burst (SDB) form.

However, Wang teaches the request in short data burst (SDB) form (see page 4, session [0053]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Aravamudan system and by providing of the teaching of Wang on the short data burst (SDB), thereto in order to provide user to send the short data burst message or SMS message easier.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3-21-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,257 Page 6

Art Unit: 2684

Applicant argues that the reference of Aravamudan (U.S. Pub. No. 20030148779) fails to disclose a member list is received from a user, and the user have multiple group call listed on the

user's handset and the list is set or already residing on the handset. However, the examiner does

not agree, since Aravamudan teaches a user have multiple group call listed on the user's handset,

e.g., a soccer club group and card playing group, that is the member list was received, and user

have to stored in the handset. To choose a group, the user scrolls down through the list of groups,

select a particular group, and send request to initiation of the group call (see page 7, section

[0085]). Therefore the reference of Aravamudan is teaching the limitation discussed above.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2684

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner=s supervisor, Nay Maung, can be reached at (571) 272-7882.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,257 Page 8

Art Unit: 2684

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan H. Trinh Art Unit 2684 June 29, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER